July 9, 1951 (OPINION)

INSURANCE

RE: Premium Tax

We have your inquiry concerning the communication received by you under date of June 30, from Mr. Harlie Spencer, Examiner of the Indiana Department of Insurance, Zone 4 N.A.I.C.

Mr. Spencer states that in a convention examination of the Equitable Life Assurance Society, it has been found that neither payroll deductions from employees' salaries or contributions made by the society for the society's retirement plans have been allocated to the various states as taxable premiums on schedule "T". He further states that the society contends that the retirement plan is not embodied in a group annuity contract inasmuch as such a contract has never been issued covering this plan.

He further states that individual certificates are issued to the employees and contain the provision "This individual certificate is furnished in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan, which constitutes the entire contract between the parties." Such a plan is then embodied in a brochure issued by the society.

The examiners contend that the amounts paid for the retirement plan constitute premiums subject to tax under the laws of most states in which annuity premiums are taxable, and Mr. Spencer asks for our opinion in this matter in the light of premium tax law of North Dakota.

You are advised that originally the premium tax law of North Dakota, before the advent of the Revised Code, consisted of section 4924 of the 1925 Supplement to the 1913 Compiled Laws and stated as follows:

Every insurance company doing business in this state, except exchange, stock and mutual companies, originated under the laws of this state, shall at the time make an annual statement of business done as required by law, and pay to the commissioner of insurance 2 1/2 percent of the gross amount of premiums received in this state during the preceding year. Upon the payment of such sum the commissioner of insurance shall issue the annual certificates provided by law."

During the year 1938 there came before the Supreme Court on appeal the case of State of North Dakota and Oscar E. Erickson, Commissioner of Insurance of the State of North Dakota v. Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, 68 N.D. 641, 282 N.W.411, in which this insurance company contended it was not liable to pay a 2 1/2 percent premium tax on annuity contracts. The Supreme Court, after considering the appeal from the district court and held, in effect, that the words "gross amount of premiums" applied only to premiums on

life insurance contracts and not to considerations paid for annuities.

Shortly thereafter, in fact, at the next legislative session, chapter 160, section 1, 1939 Session Laws, was enacted which provided for a tax equal in amount to 2 1/2 percent of the gross amount of premiums, considerations for annuities, membership fees and policy fees received in this state during the preceding year, such tax to be payable at the time when the annual statement of business required by law is filed. This is now section 26-0111, 1943 N.D.R.C.

From this change the legislative intent becomes very clear, and we must hold that all considerations paid for annuities to foreign companies doing business in this state are subject to the $2\ 1/2$ percent of the gross amount of premiums.

Now, then, if the Equitable Life Assurance Society enters into an agreement with an employee within this state whereby upon and after a certain effective date it agrees to pay monthly or periodical payments to the employee and charges the employee a certain amount of his salary, which is withheld on a payroll deduction plan, then such amount is paid as a consideration for an annuity and the company must pay the tax of 2 1/2 percent of the gross premiums so paid by the employee.

We do not, however, consider the company's contribution to the retirement fund as a "consideration for an annuity" and it is our opinion therefore that only the part paid by the payroll deduction is taxable.

ELMO T. CHRISTIANSON

Attorney General