## STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

ATTORNEY GENERAL' S OPI NI ON 89-19

| Date i ssued: | Decenber 6, 1989 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested by: | Superintendent Marge Everhart <br> Gol va Publ ic School |

- QUESTI ON PRESENTED -

Wether, in the absence of a statutory gui deline, a school board may exercise its discretion to establish a means of determing what constitutes a "maj ority of qualified el ectors" pursuant to N. D. C. C. ' 15-40. 2- 01.

- ATTORNEY GENERAL' S OPI NI ON -

It is my opi ni on that by necessary implication a school board may exercise its di scretion in determining what constitutes a "majority of qualified el ectors" pursuant to N. D. C. C. ' 15-40. 2- 01.

- ANALYSIS -
N. D. C. C. ' 15-40. 2-01 provi des in pertinent part, as follows:

The school board may arrange, and when petitioned to do so by a majority of qualified el ectors of the district, shall arrange with the school boards of other districts or with the institutions, to send pupils to such other districts or institutions who can be taught conveni ently therein, and for the payment of their tuition and for furni shi ng and payi ng for their transportation to and from such other schools or institutions.
(Emphasis supplied.)
It is unclear from reading the stat ute what method or source of information a school board is to use in determing the total number of "qualified el ectors." As a result, it is difficult for a school board to determine the number of signatures necessary to satisfy the maj ority requirement.

Although N. D.C.C. ' 1-01-51 defines "qualified el ector" as "a citizen of the United States who is ei ghteen years of age orol der; and is a resident of this state and of the area affected by the petition," it, too, fails to establish guidelines to assist a school board in ascertaining the total number of qual ified electors in the di strict.

The North Dakota Century Code contains a number of sections, six of them in
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N.D.C.C. tit. 15 al one, that provide various methods for the state and political subdi visions to determine the total number of qualified el ectors in a gi ven area. The six sections in N. D. C. C. tit. 15 provide:

1. "Upon petition of five percent of the qualified el ectors voting in the last preceding countywi de el ection . . . . " N. D. C. C. ' 15-18-05 (emphasis supplied).
2. "[S]igned by twenty percent of the qualified electors of said school district voting at the last annual school el ection . . . ." N. D. C. C.
' 15-20. 2-13 (emphasi s supplied).
3. "[F]ive percent of the qualified electors of a county or parts thereof, as determined by the vote cast for the office of governor at the last general election at whi ch such of fice was voted upon . . . ." N. D. C. C. ' 15-22-25 (emphasi s suppl ied).
4. "[U]pon written petition signed by two-thirds of the qual ified el ectors in the contiguous territory. The county committee shall determine the sufficiency of the petition and the required number of electors necessary to constitute a two-thirds majority." N. D. C. C. ' 15-27. 2-01 (emphasis supplied).
5. "Upon the filing with it of a petition signed by a number of qualified el ectors equal in number to twenty percent of the number of persons enumerated in the school census for that district for the most recent year the census was taken, unl ess the census is greater than four thousand, in which case only fifteen percent of the number of persons enumerated in the school census is requi red. . . . However, not fewer than twenty-five si gnat ures of qual ified el ectors is required unl ess the di strict has fewer than twenty-five qual ified el ectors, in whi ch case the petition must be si gned by not less than twenty-five percent of the qual ified el ectors of the district. In those districts with fewer than twenty-five qual ified el ectors, the county superintendent for the county in whi ch the school is located shall determine the number of qual ified el ectors in the qual ified district. N.D.C.C. '15-27.3-19 (emphasis supplied).
6. [A] petition signed by at least one-third of the qualified el ectors of the school di strict as determined by the number of persons voting at the most recent annual school district election. N. D. C. C. ' 15-28-01( 2) (emphasis supplied).

These six provisions contain five different methods for determing the number of qualified electors in a given area. These sections al so represent only some of the different procedures for determing the total number of qual ified el ectors. Consequently, it cannot be clearly deduced that the Legi slat ure's failure to make an express provision for the manner in which a school board was to performits duty pursuant to N. D. C. C. ' 15-40.2-01, evi dences an int ent
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that a school board empl oy a particul ar method of cal cul ating the tot number of qual ified el ectors.

The Legi sl at ure's failure to expressly provide a method for determing the number of qual ified el ectors does not preclude a school board from di scharging its duty pursuant to N. D. C. C. ' 15-40. 2- 01.

An express statutory grant of power or the imposition of a definite duty carries with it by implication, in the absence of a Iimitation, authority to employ all the means that are usually empl oyed and that are necessary to the exercise of the power or the performance of the duty.

St ate ex rel. Bauer v. Nestos, 187 N. W 233, 235 (N. D. 1922). Thus, as a publ ic body, a school board has, in addition to the authority the Legi slative Assembly expressly gives it by statute, those powers and duties that are necessarily i mplied from the express grant of authority. See Arerican Fed' $n$ of State, County \& Mun. Empl oyees v. Ol son, 338 N. W 2d 97, 100 (N. D. 1983).

While N. D. C. C. ' 15-40. 2- 01 expressly authorizes a school board to send pupils to other districts or institutions "when petitioned to do so by a maj ority of qual ified electors of the di strict," it does not establish the means by whi ch a school board is to calculate the tot number of qual ified el ectors in the di strict. Thi s express statutory authority carries with it by implication the authority to employ the means necessary to perform the duty imposed. Accordingly, it is my opi ni on that a school board must exercise its di scretion to determine the method to be used in determing the number of qualified el ectors in the school di strict. It would not be inappropriate if the method chosen was one which is al ready provi ded for by statute.

- EFFECT -

This opinion is issued pursuant to N.D.C.C. '54-12-01. It governs the actions of public officials until such time as the question presented is deci ded by the courts.

Ni chol as J. Spaeth
At torney General

Assi sted by: Troy L. Lorenz
Assi stant Attorney General
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