# OPEN RECORDS AND MEETINGS OPINION 2013-O-04

DATE ISSUED: April 9, 2013

ISSUED TO: Wells County Water Resource District

# CITIZEN'S REQUEST FOR OPINION

This office received a request for an opinion under N.D.C.C. § 44-04-21.1 from Preston Meier asking whether the Wells County Water Resource District violated N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20 by holding a meeting without providing public notice in substantial compliance with the open meetings law.

## **FACTS PRESENTED**

A regular meeting of the Wells County Water Resource District (District) was noticed to be held on October 9, 2012, at 8 p.m.<sup>1</sup> Mr. Meier alleges the meeting was improperly noticed because when he arrived at 7:53 p.m., the meeting was already in progress and the Wells County Water Board (Board) was already entertaining a motion.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether the Board's October 9, 2012, meeting was noticed in substantial compliance with N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20.

## **ANALYSIS**

The Wells County Water Resource District is a political subdivision and is therefore a public entity subject to the open meetings law.<sup>2</sup> The Board is the governing body of the Water Resource District.<sup>3</sup> All "meetings" of a governing body of a public entity are required to be open to the public unless otherwise specifically provided by law and preceded by public notice in substantial compliance with N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20.<sup>4</sup> The

<sup>4</sup> N.D.C.C. § 44-04-19; N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Wells Cnty. Water Res. Dist. Bd. Agenda, Oct. 9, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> N.D.C.C. § 44-04-17.1(13); N.D.A.G. 2008-O-13; <u>Burlington N. and Santa Fe Ry. Co.</u> v. Benson Cnty., 618 N.W.2d 155, 157 (N.D. 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>See</u> N.D.C.C. § 44-04-17.1(6).

OPEN RECORDS AND MEETINGS OPINION 2013-O-04 April 9, 2013 Page 2

notice must contain the date, time, and location of the meeting and, if practicable, the topics to be considered.<sup>5</sup>

The regular meeting was noticed to begin at 8 p.m. on October 9, 2012. Mr. Meier suggests that when he arrived at the meeting at 7:53 p.m., the meeting was already in progress and the Board was already entertaining a motion. Minutes from the meeting indicate that the meeting began at 8 p.m. with the Board reviewing and approving the minutes from the previous meeting. The Board does not believe it began the meeting early, but explains that if the meeting did begin a few minutes early, the only business it could have conducted during such a short amount of time would have been approval of the previous meeting minutes. By statute, an Attorney General's opinion must be based on the facts provided by the public entity. Therefore, I must assume that the Board started its meeting at 8 p.m. Thus, it is my opinion that the Wells County Water Board did not violate the open meetings law and that the meeting was noticed in substantial compliance with N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20.

# CONCLUSION

The Wells County Water Board did not violate N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20 because the October 9, 2012, regular meeting was noticed in substantial compliance with the law.

Wayne Stenehjem Attorney General

slv/vkk

<sup>7</sup> N.D.C.C. § 44-04-21.1(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> N.D.C.C. § 44-04-20(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Wells Cnty. Water Res. Dist. Bd. minutes, Oct. 9, 2012.